PUBLIC CONSULTATION FOR MANAGING UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL MESSAGES AND THE PROVISION OF GUIDANCE TO SUPPORT INNOVATION IN THE DIGITAL ECONOMY

Dear Sir/Mdm

We would like to submit comments for the consultation paper. For any clarifications, you may contact us at:

Company Name: NTUC Income

Contact Person: Daniel Mok

Email: Daniel.Mok@income.com.sg

Feel free to let us know if you require any clarifications.

A)	<u>Proposed streamlining of requirements under DNC provisions and the Spam Control Act (SCA)</u>
Scor	e and applicability
and	stion 1: What are your views on the proposed scope and applicability of the DNC Provisions the Spam Control Provisions? ree.
Que	sages sent using IM identifiers stion 2: What are your views on including commercial text messages sent using IM tifiers under the Spam Control Provisions?
Agr	ree.
With	ndrawal period for specified voice, text and fax messages
with	stion 3: What are your views on the proposed reduction of the period for effecting drawal of consent to 10 business days, in line with the period to effect an unsubscribe test under the Spam Control Provisions?
	business days is too short to effect the withdrawal of consent received from customers.
Que	onary attack and address harvesting software stion 4: What are your views on prohibiting the use of dictionary attack and address resting software for sending of commercial messages to all telephone numbers, IM
<i>iden</i>	tifiers and email addresses?
781	

Business-to-business ("B2B") marketing messages

Question	5: Shou	ıld B2B	marketing	messages	be subje	ct to	the i	requirements	under	the	DNC
Provision	s, in alig	nment	with the co	verage und	der the S	pam C	ontr	ol Provisions	?		

Enforcing DNC brea	aches under an administrative regime	
Question 6: What an administrative	are your views on the proposal for the DNC Provisions to be enforce regime?	ced und
Agree.		
	are your views on the proposed obligation to communicate accur	ate DN
Question 7: What esults, and liabil esulting from inac		
Question 7: What esults, and liabil esulting from inac Agree.	are your views on the proposed obligation to communicate accur ity on third-party checkers for any infringements of the DNC I ccurate information they provided?	Provisio
Question 7: What esults, and liabilesulting from inaction Agree. Question 8: What	are your views on the proposed obligation to communicate accurity on third-party checkers for any infringements of the DNC Incurate information they provided? are your views on the proposed prohibition of resale of results of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition prohibition of the proposed prohibition of the proposed prohibition proh	Provisio
Question 7: What results, and liabilities and	are your views on the proposed obligation to communicate accurity on third-party checkers for any infringements of the DNC Incurate information they provided? are your views on the proposed prohibition of resale of results of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition prohibition of the proposed prohibition of the proposed prohibition proh	Provisio
Question 7: What results, and liabilates resulting from inaction Agree. Question 8: What numbers checked to the second s	are your views on the proposed obligation to communicate accurity on third-party checkers for any infringements of the DNC Incurate information they provided? are your views on the proposed prohibition of resale of results of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition of resale of the proposed prohibition prohibition of the proposed prohibition of the proposed prohibition proh	Provisio

Presumption of sending

Que.	stion 9: What are your views on the proposed deeming provision?
Agı	ree.
В)	ENHANCED PRACTICAL GUIDANCE
Nee	d for Enhanced Practical Guidance
Que.	stion 10: What are your views on the proposed Enhanced Practical Guidance framework?
No	comment.
C)	SECOND, THIRD AND FOURTH SCHEDULES TO THE PDPA
Solic	citation of feedback on exceptions to consent
Orga a	stion: anization to provide feedback on whether a) the scope or conditions of any exception should be adjusted or clarified; and b) any exception is no longer necessary or relevant. comment.