RESPONSE TO THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION ISSUED BY THE PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION COMMISSION

PROPOSED BUSINESS OPERATION OF THE DO NOT CALL REGISTRY



4 June 2013

This paper is prepared in response to the PDPC consultation document dated 15 May 2013 and represents M1's views on the subject matter. Unless otherwise noted, M1 makes no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the information and data contained in this paper nor the suitability of the said information or data for any particular purpose otherwise than as stated above. M1 or any party associated with this paper or its content assumes no liability for any loss or damage resulting from the use or misuse of any information contained herein or any errors or omissions and shall not be held responsible for the validity of the information contained in any reference noted herein nor the misuse of information nor any adverse effects from use of any stated materials presented herein or the reliance thereon.



1. GENERAL

M1 Limited and its related companies ("M1") welcomes this opportunity to make a submission in response to the Personal Data Protection Commission ("PDPC") consultation paper dated 15 May 2013 on the Proposed Business Operation of the Do Not Call ("DNC") registry ("Consultation").

M1 is of the view that while it is important to empower consumers to opt-out of receiving unsolicited marketing calls/messages, it is also necessary to ensure that the operations of the DNC registry do not impose unnecessary administrative burden and costs in regulatory compliance for organisations. We have the following clarifications and comments for the PDPC's review.

2. COMMENTS

No.	Section/Description	M1 Comment		
1.	Paragraph 3.14 states that an organisation can continue to contact an individual who has registered his telephone number with the DNC registry if the individual had	Section 19 of the Personal Data Protection Act 2012 ("PDPA") provides that an organisation may continue to use personal data about an individual collected before the appointed day for the purposes for which the personal data was collected unless consent for such use is withdrawn by the individual.		
	previously given his <u>clear</u> <u>and unambiguous consent to</u> <u>the organisation prior to the</u> <u>prescribed date</u> .	M1 would like to seek the PDPC's confirmation on whether Section 19 of the PDPA allows organisations to continue to contact individuals after the prescribed date, regardless of whether the individual has registered with the DNC registry.		



No.	Section/Description	M1 Comment		
2.	Specified Message (paragraph 2.6 – 2.10)	 The Eighth Schedule under the PDPA lists the exclusions from the meaning of Specified Message. However, the exemptions are limited to specific purposes, such as market research, completion of outstanding transactions and to notify of changes in respect to existing services in which the individual had previously consented to receiving. For operational clarity, we seek the PDPC's confirmation on whether the following constitute Specified Messages:- a) Customer surveys; b) Communication with customers to re-contract existing services; and c) Communication with customers on alternative services or options available in the event of discontinuance of existing services or service migration exercises. 		
		Specifically for scenario C, under Section 3.2.4.5 of Telecom Competition Code, telecom service provid are required to take reasonable measures to avoid a service disruption in the event that they cease speci- services, which may include providing the option service migration.		



No.	Section/Description	M1 Comment				
3.	Proposed rates	In countries where National DNC registries have been established, it is common to impose annual subscription fees with <u>unlimited access</u> .				
		Country	Annual Subscription*	Remarks		
		UK	GBP£2,640 or SGD\$5,070			
		Hong Kong	HKD\$4,800 or SGD\$782	Unlimited access		
		US	Up to USD\$15,963 or SGD\$20,180			
		Australia *Source:-	AUD\$3,200 or SGD\$3,871	Max. 1,000,000 number lookup		
4.	Proposed modes of payment	 www.tpsonline.org.uk www.dnc.gov.hk http://business.ftc.gov www.donotcall.gov.au The proposed rates by the PDPC are substantially higher than those levied in other jurisdictions. To ensure that the DNC registry benefits both consumers and businesses charges levied should be minimal, and we would request the PDPC to review its proposed rates and consider adopting unlimited monthly and/or annual subscription models. We believe that this would help to simplify the operations of the DNC registry from both the PDPC and organisations' perspective.				
4.	Troposed modes of payment	It would be helpful to include other modes of payment, such as Interbank GIRO and cheques, to provide more options for organisations on their preferred payment method.				
5.	Information required for account creation	In view that this is an organisation account, we propose to remove the requirement for personal Singpass to be used for account creation/termination.				
6.	Individual's registration with the DNC registry (paragraph 3.6 – 3.9 and Annex A)	With reference to Annex A, it is clear that for online registration via the DNC website, the DNC registry will inform the individual that his number has been successfully registered/de-registered. However, it is not apparent that individuals registering via the toll-free number or SMS will receive similar confirmation. It is important and necessary to keep individuals informed of the status of their registration/de-registration to avoid any potential disputes arising from submission of invalid responses.				