Consultation topic:Public Consultation On Review of the Personal Data Protection Act 2012 – Proposed Data Portability and Data Innovation ProvisionsOrganisation:iFAST Financial Pte. Ltd.

Questions	Comments
 What are your views on the proposed Data Portability Obligation, specifically – a) scope of organisation covered; and b) scope of data covered? 	Scope of data covered: a) User Provided Data Example 2.35 reads "where organisations are required by law to collect personal data of individuals for compliance purposes, such data would not be subject to the proposed Data Portability Obligation". Appreciate if PDPC could clarify whether all personal data collected for compliance with any applicable laws and regulations will not be subject to the proposed Data Portability Obligation. For example: (i) AML/CFT regulations require financial institutions to identify their customers by collecting adequate Know-Your-Customer ("KYC") information (e.g. name, unique identification number, address etc.); and (ii) Financial advisors are required under the MAS' regulations to collect certain data (e.g. investment objective, financial situation, risk profile etc.) to form a reasonable basis for making a recommendation to a person.
	 Will such "User Provided Data" be qualified for the exemption similar to example 2.35, or will it be subject to the proposed Data Portability Obligation? b) <u>User Activity Data</u> Appreciate if PDPC could clarify whether "User Activity Data" includes all activities in the user's accounts (i.e. both user-initiated activities and activities initiated by the institution for the user). For example: (i) Where portfolio management services are provided, does the "User Activity Data" include transactions effected by the institution under a discretionary mandate for the user?

(ii) Where financial advisory services are provided, does the "User Activity Data" include the recommendations provided by the institution to the user?
Could PDPC provide more examples of scope of data covered for the financial sector?
c) <u>Misc.</u> Will the proposed Data Portability Obligation be applicable to standing requests that are ongoing in nature?