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PART I: INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

1. Introduction

The Personal Data Protection Act 2012 (the “PDPA”) establishes a general data protection law in Singapore that governs the collection, use and disclosure of individuals’ personal data by organisations. The Personal Data Protection Commission (the “Commission”) is established under the PDPA with the key functions of, amongst others, promoting the awareness of data protection in Singapore, and administering and enforcing the PDPA.

1.1 These advisory guidelines (these “Guidelines”) are issued by the Commission pursuant to section 49(1) of the PDPA to provide guidance on the manner in which the Commission will interpret the PDPA’s provisions relating to its enforcement of the PDPA. Where relevant, reference is made to the provisions of the regulations issued under the PDPA, including the following:

1.1.1 Personal Data Protection Regulations 2021 (the “PDP Regulations”); and

1.1.2 Personal Data Protection (Enforcement) Regulations 2021 (the “Enforcement Regulations”).

1.2 These Guidelines are advisory in nature and are not legally binding on the Commission, or any other party. They do not modify or supplement in any way the legal effect and interpretation of any laws cited including, but not limited to, the PDPA and any subsidiary legislation (such as regulations and rules) issued under the PDPA. Accordingly, these Guidelines shall not be construed to limit or restrict the Commission’s administration and enforcement of the PDPA. The provisions of the PDPA and any regulations or rules issued thereunder will prevail over these Guidelines in the event of any inconsistency. These Guidelines do not constitute legal advice.

1.3 These Guidelines should be read in conjunction with other advisory guidelines issued by the Commission from time to time, which explain in detail the obligations that organisations have to comply with under the PDPA.
2. **Overview of enforcement framework and approach**

The PDPA confers various powers on the Commission to enforce the PDPA. Broadly, these powers may be categorised as follows:

2.1.1 **Powers relating to alternative dispute resolution**

These powers generally relate to the manner by which a complainant and an organisation may be directed by the Commission to resolve the complainant’s complaint, for example, through mediation or other modes of dispute settlement.

2.1.2 **Powers relating to reviews**

These powers enable the Commission to review an organisation’s reply to a request made by an individual under section 21 or 22 of the PDPA and to confirm the organisation’s reply or direct the organisation to take certain action in relation to the individual’s request.

2.1.3 **Powers relating to investigations**

These powers enable the Commission to carry out investigations to determine whether an organisation or person is complying with the PDPA and to direct an organisation or person that is not complying to take the appropriate action to ensure its compliance, or to pay a financial penalty.

2.1.4 **Powers relating to voluntary undertakings**

These powers enable the Commission to accept a voluntary undertaking from an organisation or person including an undertaking to take specified action, where the organisation or person has not complied, is not complying or is likely not to comply with the PDPA. Further, if the organisation or person fails to comply with any undertaking, the Commission may give any direction to ensure compliance with that undertaking.

Each of the above is discussed further in Parts II, III, IV and V respectively of these Guidelines.

2.2 In general, when considering whether, and how, to exercise its powers under the PDPA, the Commission’s approach takes into account two main objectives. These are:

2.2.1 to facilitate the resolution of an individual’s complaint relating to an organisation’s contravention, or alleged contravention, of the PDPA; and
2.2.2 to ensure that organisations comply with their obligations and, in the event an organisation does not comply, to ensure that it takes the appropriate corrective measures and any other necessary action in a timely manner.\(^1\)

2.3 In relation to the first objective above, a key purpose of the PDPA is to enable individuals to better protect their personal data.\(^2\) Where an individual’s rights under the PDPA may have been infringed by an organisation, the individual may wish to have specific corrective measures or other actions taken to address his complaint. From the organisation’s perspective, the PDPA requires organisations to develop and implement a process to receive and respond to complaints that may arise with respect to the application of the PDPA.\(^3\) Related to this, organisations may, as a good practice, wish to address complaints in an appropriate manner having regard to their customer relationship management or public relations so as to facilitate the resolution of such complaints by the organisation concerned.

2.4 Where the Commission receives a complaint from an individual relating to a contravention, or possible contravention, the Commission will generally prefer to facilitate the resolution of the matters raised in the complaint or application between the individual and the organisation concerned instead of immediately exercising its powers of investigation under the PDPA. In this regard, the Commission believes that a mutually accepted resolution which addresses the concerns of the complainant would best meet the immediate interests of both the complainant and the organisation.

2.5 It should however be noted that the Commission is not empowered to award damages to a complainant\(^4\). As such, the Commission will generally prefer to take other measures (such as facilitation and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms like mediation) to encourage the parties to discuss the issues in a complaint and find a mutually acceptable resolution, which may include compensation. For more information on the measures that the Commission may take when seeking to facilitate the resolution of a complaint, please refer to Part II of these Guidelines.

2.6 In relation to the second objective set out in paragraph 2.2 above, the Commission notes that there may be cases where many individuals may be affected by an organisation’s contravention. Even where only an individual or a few individuals are affected, a particular contravention may cause significant harm to the individual(s) or may warrant stronger action by the Commission. There may also be cases where an organisation contravened the PDPA intentionally or negligently, or it did not have the necessary policies, procedures and processes in place to ensure its compliance with the PDPA.

---

\(^1\) In some cases, as described further in these Guidelines, the Commission may impose a financial penalty on an organisation that contravenes the PDPA.

\(^2\) See PDPA, section 3.

\(^3\) See PDPA, section 12.

\(^4\) Individuals have a private right of action under section 48O of the PDPA.
2.7 In view of the above, it is therefore an important objective of the Commission to ensure that organisations comply with their obligations under the PDPA. The Commission may therefore conduct a review or an investigation in certain cases and, based on the outcome of the review or investigation, direct the organisation to take certain actions. For more information on reviews and investigations by the Commission, please refer to Parts III and IV respectively of these Guidelines.

2.8 For the avoidance of doubt, the two main objectives described in the preceding paragraphs are not intended to be mutually exclusive or to preclude the Commission from taking any action under the PDPA for other reasons. Where the Commission considers it appropriate, the Commission may commence an investigation even if the complainant and the organisation have reached an agreement that resolves the issues in the complainant’s complaint. This may include situations where the facts of the case disclose issues of general public interest, or there are other individuals who may be affected by the conduct of the organisation.

3. Determining whether a complaint involves personal data

3.1 When the Commission receives a complaint, it will first determine if the complaint involves the collection, use or disclosure of personal data. For example, the Commission generally considers the following types of data, on its own, to be personal data:

(a) Full name
(b) NRIC number or FIN (Foreign Identification Number)
(c) Passport number
(d) Personal mobile telephone number

3.2 For instance, the Commission may commence an investigation into any breach of the PDPA if it receives a complaint involving an organisation’s collection, use or disclosure of a list of individuals’ NRIC numbers, even if the list does not contain any other information, or the organisation does not have access to any other information about the individuals.

3.3 If a complaint involves other types of data, the Commission will take a case-by-case approach in accordance with the Commission’s Advisory Guidelines on Key Concepts in the PDPA to determine whether the data involved constitutes personal data.

---

5 Personal data is defined in section 2 of the PDPA to refer to data, whether true or not, about an individual who can be identified (a) from that data; or (b) from that data and other information to which the organisation has or is likely to have access.

6 Re Full House Communications Pte Ltd [2016] SGPDPC 8, where it was found that a person’s full name in the drop down box alone would enable identification of the individual.
PART II: ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

4. Commission’s powers relating to alternative dispute resolution

4.1 Section 48G of the PDPA sets out the Commission’s powers in relation to the resolution of complaints. These include:

4.1.1 Under section 48G(1) of the PDPA, if the Commission is of the opinion that any complaint by an individual against an organisation may be more appropriately resolved by mediation, the Commission may, without the consent of the complainant and the organisation, refer the matter to mediation under a dispute resolution scheme.

4.1.2 Under section 48G(2) of the PDPA, the Commission may direct a complainant or an organisation or both to attempt to resolve the complaint of the individual in the way directed by the Commission.

4.2 The PDPA generally recognises that a complainant and an organisation may resolve the issues in a complaint by negotiation, mediation or other modes of dispute settlement. Where the complainant and the organisation are able to resolve the issues in a complaint and reach an agreement on the matter including, for example, any actions to be taken by the organisation to address the complaint, the Commission will consider the agreement reached in determining whether to take any further enforcement action. In particular, the PDPA provides that the Commission may suspend, discontinue or refuse to conduct an investigation where the parties involved (that is, the complainant and the organisation) mutually agree to settle the matter (among other situations).

4.3 It should be noted that the Commission’s powers in relation to alternative dispute resolution do not include deciding on disputes between a complainant and an organisation or ordering an organisation to compensate a complainant. The PDPA provides that individuals who suffer loss or damage as a direct result of a contravention of Part 4, 5, 6, 6A, 6B, Division 3 of Part 9 or section 48B(1) of the PDPA may commence civil proceedings in the courts against the organisation. This is referred to in the PDPA as a right of private action.

5. Commission’s approach to resolving complaints

---

7 Section 48G of the PDPA is entitled “Alternative dispute resolution”. This term generally refers to various processes for resolving disputes that are typically regarded as “alternatives” to civil proceedings in the courts. These may include, for example, negotiation, mediation, conciliation and arbitration.

8 The Commission’s power under section 48G(2) of the PDPA is subject to 48G(1) of the PDPA and hence would not apply in relation to cases where the Commission is of the view that a matter may be resolved by mediation.

9 See PDPA, section 50(3).

10 See PDPA, section 48O. For more information on the right of private action, please refer to section 37 of these Guidelines.
5.1 Upon receiving a complaint\textsuperscript{11}, the Commission will first consider whether it may be more appropriately resolved by adopting some or all of the measures described in section 6 of these Guidelines. Where the Commission receives a query or other information concerning an organisation’s compliance with the PDPA, the Commission may also, in appropriate cases, adopt some or all of the measures described in section 6 of these Guidelines to encourage the organisation to take the appropriate action to address the issues raised and ensure its compliance.

5.2 In considering whether to take any of the measures described in section 6 of these Guidelines, the Commission will generally consider the following factors:

5.2.1 whether, in the Commission’s view, the issues in the complaint (including the conduct of the organisation that is the subject of the complaint):

(a) relate solely to the complainant (or whether other individuals may be affected by the organisation’s conduct in question); or

(b) may be more effectively resolved through discussions between the complainant and the organisation;

5.2.2 whether the remedies or other corrective action preferred by the complainant:

(a) are within the Commission’s powers; or

(b) may be voluntarily provided by the organisation; and

5.2.3 whether the organisation concerned has the required policies and processes in place to address complaints.

5.3 In the event the complaint is subsequently resolved, the Commission will generally not proceed with an investigation unless the circumstances are such that, in the Commission’s view, an investigation is warranted. For more information on the Commission’s considerations in this regard, please refer to section 16 of these Guidelines.

\textsuperscript{11} This may include an application for a review in relation to an organisation’s obligations under section 21 or 22 of the PDPA.
6. **Measures to facilitate resolution of a complaint**

6.1 Where appropriate, the Commission may, take any or all of the following measures when seeking to facilitate the resolution of a complaint.

6.1.1 **Encouraging self-resolution**

The Commission encourages individuals who have a concern with an organisation’s conduct with respect to their personal data to first approach the organisation, clarify the reasons for the organisation’s conduct and seek an appropriate resolution of the matter. This is especially important in cases where an individual may be unclear as to an organisation’s data protection policies or specific aspects of the organisation’s collection, use or disclosure of his personal data. In such situations, the Commission notes that the individual would be best placed to clarify the matter with the organisation concerned.

For example, the mere fact that an individual has not consented to the use of his personal data by an organisation does not indicate that the organisation has breached the PDPA. This is because there are a number of situations where an organisation is permitted to use an individual’s personal data without his consent under the PDPA.\(^\text{12}\)

In addition, where an organisation is using an individual’s personal data in accordance with the PDPA and the individual would like the organisation to stop using his personal data, the individual will have to give reasonable notice to the organisation to withdraw his consent. The Commission cannot withdraw consent on behalf of the individual.

6.1.2 **Referring a complaint to an organisation**

The Commission also encourages organisations to deal directly with a complainant’s concerns in an appropriate manner. Hence, another basic step that the Commission may take is to refer a complaint to the organisation (for example, through its data protection officer).

When referring an individual’s complaint to an organisation, the Commission will generally forward a copy of the complaint and disclose the individual’s identity to the organisation so that the organisation is better able to address the complainant’s concerns.

6.1.3 **Facilitating resolution**

Where the Commission has referred a complaint to an organisation, the Commission will generally monitor the progress of discussions between the complainant and the organisation. If necessary, the Commission may facilitate

---

\(^{12}\text{For examples, see the situations set out in the First Schedule to the PDPA.}\)
the resolution of the complaint. For example, the Commission may request the data protection officer of the organisation (or such other appropriate representative of the organisation) to meet with or contact the complainant with or without a member of the Commission to discuss the complainant’s concerns.

6.1.4 Referring a complaint to mediation

If a complainant and an organisation are unable to resolve a data protection dispute between them directly or through the Commission’s facilitation attempt(s), the Commission may, without the consent of the complainant and organisation, refer the matter for mediation.

Currently, the Commission refers unresolved data protection disputes to either the Consumers Association of Singapore (“CASE”) or the Singapore Mediation Centre (“SMC”) for mediation. This is done via established understandings with CASE and SMC to make available mediation services to assist with the resolution of data protection-related complaints. CASE and SMC will provide their mediation services through their respective panels of independent mediators, in accordance with their respective specified mediation procedures and fee schedules in force at the material time. Please refer to CASE and SMC’s websites for more information on the fees that will be payable by both parties to a mediation.

Before lodging a complaint with the Commission, both individuals and organisations may also independently approach CASE or SMC for their mediation services, without first approaching the Commission. It is not necessary for parties to approach the Commission in order to refer the matter to mediation.

The Commission may be establishing a dispute resolution scheme under section 48G(1) of the PDPA. Regulations pursuant to section 48G(4) of the PDPA may be issued in the future to prescribe matters pertaining to the operation of such schemes. Once established, the Commission may, without the parties’ consent, refer the matter for mediation under such a dispute resolution scheme pursuant to section 48G(1) of the PDPA.

6.1.5 Directing the parties to attempt to resolve the complaint

In certain situations, the Commission may, pursuant to section 48G(2) of the PDPA, direct the complainant, the organisation, or both, to attempt to resolve the complainant’s complaint in the manner directed by the Commission. Before making such a direction, the Commission may consider the manner in which the complaint may be more appropriately or more expeditiously resolved.
For example, if a complaint arises from a broader contractual dispute between a complainant and an organisation and the contract between the parties requires them to submit disputes to arbitration, the Commission may consider whether to direct the parties to resolve their dispute (insofar as it relates to the individual’s complaint) via arbitration.

Where the Commission issues a direction under section 48G(2) of the PDPA, the complainant or the organisation concerned may apply for the Commission to reconsider its direction under section 48N of the PDPA and may also appeal against the direction under section 48Q of the PDPA. For more information on applying for reconsideration, please refer to Part VIII of these Guidelines.
PART III: REVIEWS

7. Commission’s power to review

7.1 Section 48H of the PDPA sets out the Commission’s powers in relation to the conduct of a review. In particular, section 48H(1) of the PDPA provides that the Commission may, on the application of an individual (referred to below and in the Enforcement Regulations as the applicant),\(^\text{13}\) review the following matters:

7.1.1 an organisation’s refusal to provide access to personal data requested by the applicant in a request under section 21 of the PDPA (an “access request”), or a failure to provide such access within a reasonable time;

7.1.2 an organisation’s refusal to correct personal data requested by the applicant in a request under section 22 of the PDPA (a “correction request”), or a failure to make such a correction within a reasonable time; or

7.1.3 a fee required by an organisation from the applicant in relation to the applicant’s access request or a correction request.

7.2 Under the PDPA, organisations are required to provide access to, and correct, an individual’s personal data that is within their possession or control upon request by the individual and in accordance with sections 21 and 22 of the PDPA respectively. An organisation’s obligations under sections 21 and 22 of the PDPA are collectively referred to by the Commission as the “Access and Correction Obligations”. For more information on the Access and Correction Obligations, please refer to the Commission’s Advisory Guidelines on Key Concepts in the PDPA.\(^\text{14}\)

7.3 Where the Commission receives an application for a review under section 48H of the PDPA, the Commission will first consider whether the matter may be resolved in the manner set out in Part II of these Guidelines. The Commission may therefore adopt some or all of the measures described in Part II before commencing a review. In the event the matter is resolved in the manner described in Part II, the Commission will generally not proceed with the review.

---

\(^{13}\) The term “applicant” is defined in regulation 2 of the Enforcement Regulations as either the complainant referred to in section 48H of the PDPA (that is, the party who applies for a review) or the individual or organisation who applies for reconsideration under section 48N of the PDPA. In Part III of these Guidelines, applicant refers solely to a party who applies for a review under section 48H of the PDPA.

8. **Applying to the Commission for a review**

8.1 An individual who has made an access request or a correction request to an organisation may apply to the Commission under section 48H(1) of the PDPA for the Commission to review the organisation’s reply to his request in the following situations:

8.1.1 where the organisation refuses to give access to personal data or make the correction requested by the individual and the individual is dissatisfied with the reasons given by the organisation for its refusal or the organisation does not give any reasons for its refusal;

8.1.2 the organisation has failed to give access to personal data or make the correction requested by the individual within a reasonable time;

8.1.3 the organisation requires the individual to pay a fee, or agree to pay a fee, before it responds to an access request and the fee exceeds what would be reasonable;\(^{15}\) or

8.1.4 the organisation requires the individual to pay a fee, or agree to pay a fee, before it responds to a correction request.\(^{16}\)

For more information on how to make an access request or a correction request to an organisation, please refer to the section on “The Access and Correction Obligations” in the Key Concept Guidelines.

**Matters to note before applying to the Commission for a review**

8.2 Before applying to the Commission for a review, individuals should note and, if necessary, clarify the following with the organisation concerned as this would likely facilitate a quicker resolution of any dispute or issues of concern they may have with the organisation:

8.2.1 **Reasons for the organisation’s refusal to provide access to personal data or make a correction requested**

The PDPA sets out a number of situations in which an organisation is not required to provide personal data requested by an individual under section 21

---

\(^{15}\) Individuals may apply for a review before or after paying any such fee. However, in the event the fee is not paid, the organisation is not required to provide access to the personal data requested by the individual unless the Commission disallows the organisation from charging the fee upon completion of the review. Please also note that the Commission may not review a fee that has been paid if a significant period has passed since the fee was paid.

\(^{16}\) Individuals may apply for a review before or after paying any such fee. However, please note that the Commission may not review a fee that has been paid if a significant period has passed since the fee was paid.
of the PDPA\textsuperscript{17} (for example, where provision of the requested personal data could threaten the safety or physical or mental health of another individual), or make a correction requested by an individual under section 22 of the PDPA\textsuperscript{18} (for example, where the correction request is in respect of any examination conducted by an education institution, examination scripts, and, (prior to their release) examination results). Subject to the PDPA and the PDP Regulations\textsuperscript{19} organisations are required to provide a reply to the individual even if they refuse to provide the personal data or make the correction requested by the individual. In such situations, the Commission would strongly encourage organisations to provide the reason(s) for their decision to refuse the individual’s request so that the individual is aware of and understands their reason(s).

If an individual is unclear as to the reasons for an organisation’s refusal to provide access to personal data or make the correction requested, or the organisation has not communicated its reasons to the individual, the individual should first clarify this directly with the organisation.

### 8.2.2 Timing of the organisation’s response in complying with the access and correction requirement

The PDPA generally requires organisations to provide the personal data, or make the correction, requested by an individual under sections 21 or 22 of the PDPA as soon as reasonably possible. In addition, if the organisation is unable to provide the personal data or make the correction requested within 30 days after receiving the request, the organisation must inform the individual, in writing, within 30 days, of the time by which it will respond to the individual’s access or correction request.\textsuperscript{20}

If an individual has not heard from an organisation within 30 days of his access request or correction request, he should confirm with the organisation that it has received his request and clarify with the organisation on the time frame within which it will reply to the request.\textsuperscript{21}

Where an organisation has specified the period within which it will respond to the individual’s access request or correction request, the individual should not apply for a review until the specified period has expired or the circumstances are such that the period specified by the organisation exceeds what would be

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{17} See PDPA, section 21 (2), (3) and (4) and Fifth Schedule.
  \item \textsuperscript{18} See PDPA, section 22 (2), (4), (6) and (7) and Sixth Schedule.
  \item \textsuperscript{19} See PDPA, section 21 (4) and PDP Regulations, regulation 6.
  \item \textsuperscript{20} See PDP Regulations, regulation 5.
  \item \textsuperscript{21} If the circumstances are clear such that a reasonable period is less than 30 days, the individual may similarly check with the organisation after the expiry of that period if he has not received any reply from the organisation by then.
\end{itemize}
a reasonable period. Individuals may also wish to check with the organisation if there has been any delay, whether due to any unforeseen circumstances or other reasons, such that the organisation may require additional time in order to respond to their request.

8.2.3 Fee required by an organisation

The PDP Regulations permit organisations to charge a reasonable fee for services provided to fulfil an access request so that the organisation can recover the incremental costs of responding to the request.

An organisation that wishes to charge such a fee must provide a written estimate of the fee to the individual and is not required to provide the personal data requested until the individual agrees to pay the fee. The final fee chargeable should thereafter be communicated to the individual so that payment for the provision of the personal data may be made. Organisations may not charge a fee in relation to fulfilling a correction request.

Individuals should note that where a fee is levied, it may exceed the cost of producing a copy of the document containing the personal data, as such fee may include other incremental costs to reflect the time and effort required to respond to the request.

Submitting a review application to the Commission

8.3 When submitting a review application to the Commission, the review application should include the following information:

8.3.1 the reasons for making the application (for example, failure of the organisation to provide the personal data requested within a reasonable time);

8.3.2 a copy of the access or correction request submitted to the organisation (if that is not available, the applicant should provide a clear description of the personal data which he had requested access to, or the correction he had requested for);

8.3.3 a copy of the organisation’s reply to the access or correction request, including any interim replies;

---

22 Organisations may, if they so decide, provide the personal data requested even if the fee has not yet been paid by the individual. However, any agreement between the individual and the organisation under which the individual is required to pay the fee for the personal data provided by the organisation pursuant to the individual’s access request is subject to any decision by the Commission under section 48H(2) of the PDPA in the event the individual applies to the Commission for a review of the fee under section 48H(1) of the PDPA.

23 See PDP Regulations, regulation 7(1) to (3).

24 See PDP Regulations, regulation 7(4).
8.3.4 a copy of all other correspondence between the applicant and the organisation relating to the access or correction request (for example, correspondence clarifying the reasons for the organisation’s reply); and

8.3.5 the facts and circumstances of the key events that took place in relation to the submission of the applicant’s access or correction request.

An application for review may also be made by submitting a duly completed form as set out on the Commission’s website and including the information and copies of documents specified in the form.

8.4 Review applications may be submitted by post, by email or in person to the Commission.

9. Procedure during a review

9.1 The procedures that the Commission will adopt in a review under section 48H(1) of the PDPA are set out in Part 2 of the Enforcement Regulations and described in brief below.

Serving a copy of the review application to the organisation concerned

9.2 If satisfied that the application filed by the applicant discloses a prima facie case for review, the Commission will:

9.2.1 serve a copy of the review application and any accompanying documents on the organisation concerned (referred to in the Enforcement Regulations as the “respondent”); and

9.2.2 require the respondent to submit, within a period specified by the Commission, a response setting out the respondent’s explanation to the matters or issues raised in the complaint and any other information or document required by the Commission.  

Submission of a response by the respondent

9.3 In most cases, respondents will be required to submit a response within 14 days of the date of the notice of review application. The respondent may apply to the Commission in writing for an extension of the time frame for submission of the response, subject to the Commission’s approval of such request for extension of time. In general, the Commission will give the respondent a reasonable period, taking into account the scope of the applicant’s application and the issues raised therein, to submit the response.

25 See the Enforcement Regulations, regulation 6(1).
9.3.1 The respondent shall submit its response in accordance with the Enforcement Regulations. Amongst other requirements, each response must be made in writing, signed by the respondent or an authorised representative of the respondent and contain all relevant information and documents that the respondent wishes to refer to or rely upon in its response.

9.3.2 If the respondent fails to submit a response within the time frame specified by the Commission in its notice (including any extension thereof granted by the Commission), the Commission may either:

(a) proceed to make its decision based on the information and documents obtained by the Commission during the review (including any additional information and documents obtained by the Commission pursuant to its powers); or

(b) commence an investigation into the conduct of the organisation (in which case, the Commission may suspend the review pending the outcome of the investigation).

9.3.3 The respondent should inform the Commission if the response contains information the respondent considers to be confidential, and provide a written statement giving reasons why the information is confidential. The Commission will give due consideration to these reasons when deciding whether to grant confidential treatment.

Inviting the applicant to submit a reply to the organisation’s response to the review application

9.4 Where the respondent submits a response, the Commission may, where it considers it appropriate:

(a) serve a copy of the response on the applicant; and

(b) invite the applicant to submit to the Commission, within a period specified by the Commission, a written reply to the respondent’s response as the applicant may wish to give.

9.4.1 In considering whether to serve a copy of the organisation’s response to the applicant, the Commission would consider all relevant factors, including but not limited to the fact that the response could contain information about the

---

26 See the Enforcement Regulations, regulation 6(2).
27 See section 10 of these Guidelines.
28 See paragraph 12.1 of these Guidelines.
29 For the avoidance of doubt, the applicant is not required to submit a reply if he does not wish to provide any reply to the respondent’s response. The applicant may choose to inform the Commission in writing at any time with the period specified by the Commission if he does not wish to submit a reply.
organisation’s internal policies or practices. Respondents should note that the Commission will generally invite the applicant to submit a reply if the response raises issues relating to the applicant (e.g., the applicant’s conduct).

9.4.2 In general, where the Commission invites the applicant to submit a reply, the Commission will give the applicant a reasonable time frame, taking into account the issues raised in the respondent’s response, to submit the reply. In most cases, the time frame may be within 14 days for submission of the reply. The applicant may apply to the Commission in writing for an extension of the time frame for submission of the reply, subject to the Commission’s approval of such request for extension of time.

9.4.3 Where the applicant wishes to submit a reply, the applicant shall submit his written reply in accordance with the Enforcement Regulations. Amongst other requirements, each reply must be made in writing, signed by the applicant or his authorised representative and contain all relevant information and documents that the applicant wishes to refer to or rely upon in his reply.

**Seeking further information or clarifications from the applicant or respondent**

9.5 The Commission may, at any stage of the review, seek further information, clarifications or documents from either the applicant or the respondent. The Commission may also exercise the powers described in section 10 of these Guidelines to obtain additional information and documents for the purposes of the review from the applicant or the respondent.

9.6 Upon receiving the respondent’s response, any reply submitted by the applicant (where applicable) within the time frame specified by the Commission (including any extension thereof granted by the Commission), and any additional information obtained during the review, the Commission shall make its decision on the matters and issues raised in the application.

9.7 After making its decision or direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA upon a review application, the Commission will give notice of the decision or direction to the applicant and the respondent. Section 14 of these Guidelines describes the decisions and directions that a Commission may make upon the completion of a review. The Commission may also publish the decision or a summary of the decision as described in Part VII of these Guidelines.

---

30 See the Enforcement Regulations, regulation 7(2).
10. **Summary dismissal of review application**

10.1 The Commission may, at any time, dismiss a review application in the circumstances set out in Regulation 5 of the Enforcement Regulations:

10.1.1 the Commission considers that the application does not disclose any *prima facie* case for a review under section 48H(1) of the PDPA based on the information disclosed in the application;\(^{31}\)

10.1.2 the Commission has referred the matter to mediation under a dispute resolution scheme under section 48G(1) of the PDPA;

10.1.3 the Commission has issued a direction to the applicant under section 48G(2) of the PDPA and the applicant has not complied with the direction;

10.1.4 the applicant and the organisation have mutually agreed to settle the matter;

10.1.5 the applicant has commenced legal proceedings against the organisation in respect of a contravention or alleged contravention of the PDPA by the organisation, which is the subject of the application;

10.1.6 the review application is not made in accordance with regulation 4(1) or is materially incomplete;

10.1.7 the applicant has, without reasonable excuse, failed to comply with the time specified in a written notice under regulation 4(3) of the Enforcement Regulations for the submission of any document or information required under the notice; or

10.1.8 the Commission is of the opinion that —

(a) the application is frivolous or vexatious or is not made in good faith; or

(b) any other circumstances warrant dismissing the application.

10.2 Without limitation to the foregoing, the Commission may dismiss an application for a review that is made within the following time frames:

10.2.1 30 days of the date on which the access request or correction request was made by the individual to the organisation; or

10.2.2 the time frame specified by the organisation to the applicant as the soonest possible time it can provide access or make the correction (if any),

\(^{31}\) This may include (without limitation) situations where an applicant has not yet made an access request or a correction request to the organisation or where the application does not otherwise disclose a matter that the Commission may review under section 48H(1) of the PDPA.
unless the circumstances are such that it would be reasonable for the organisation to have provided the personal data or made the correction within a shorter time frame.

11. **Commission’s powers when conducting a review application**

11.1 The Commission may exercise the following powers under the Enforcement Regulations when conducting a review:

11.1.1 the power to require production of documents and information; and

11.1.2 the power to require an applicant or a respondent to furnish a statutory declaration.  

11.2 The following paragraphs describe these powers in brief:

11.2.1 **Power to require production of documents and information**

The Commission may, at any time by notice in writing, require the applicant to produce any document or information to support the facts or particulars contained in the applicant’s application for a review or reply to the respondent’s response. The Commission’s notice will specify the document or information, or the category of documents or information, which the applicant or respondent is required to provide and the time within which the document or information is to be provided to the Commission.

The Commission may similarly require the respondent to produce any document or information to support the facts or particulars contained in the respondent’s response to the applicant’s application.

11.2.2 **Power to require an applicant or a respondent to furnish a statutory declaration**

The Commission may, at any time by notice in writing, require the applicant to provide, within such time as may be specified in the notice, a statutory declaration to support the facts or particulars in the applicant’s application for a review or reply.

The Commission may similarly require the respondent to provide a statutory declaration to support the facts or particulars in the respondent’s response.

---

32 See Enforcement Regulations, regulations 4(3), 6(3) and 7(3).
12. Suspension of a review

12.1 The Commission may suspend a review at any stage of the review in the circumstances set out in regulation 9 of the Enforcement Regulations. These circumstances are:

12.1.1 the Commission commences an investigation under section 50(1) of the PDPA into the conduct of the respondent that is the subject of the applicant’s application for a review; or

12.1.2 the Commission is of the opinion that any other circumstances warrant suspending the review.

13. Withdrawal of review application

13.1 An applicant may withdraw his application for a review at any time before the Commission gives notice of its decision or direction, by way of notice in writing to the Commission. In such an event, the Commission will terminate the review.

14. Commission’s decisions and directions following a review

14.1 Section 48H(2) of the PDPA provides that the Commission may, upon the completion of a review:

14.1.1 confirm the respondent’s refusal to provide access to the personal data, or direct the respondent to provide access to the personal data, within such time as the Commission may specify;

14.1.2 confirm the respondent’s refusal to correct the personal data, or direct the respondent to correct the personal data, in such manner and within such time as the Commission may specify; or

14.1.3 confirm, reduce or disallow a fee, or direct the respondent to make a refund to the applicant.

14.2 Where the Commission makes a direction against the respondent under section 48H(2) of the PDPA and the respondent fails to comply with the direction, the Commission may issue a further direction against the respondent under section 48I(1) or (2) of the PDPA. This may include (without limitation) a direction to comply with the Commission’s direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA.

14.3 The Commission is empowered under section 48M of the PDPA to enforce its directions by registering them in the District Court. Please refer to section 27 of these Guidelines for more information on the enforcement of the Commission’s directions.

33 See the Enforcement Regulations, regulation 8.
Examples

(A) An organisation did not provide access to personal data requested by an individual more than 30 days after receiving the request, and did not inform the individual within 30 days of the time\(^{34}\) by which it will be able to respond to the request.

The individual submits a review application on the grounds that the organisation has failed to provide access to the personal data requested. The individual explained in the application that the organisation has failed to respond to his request within 30 days, and also did not inform him of the time frame by which it will be able to respond within 30 days. His application also stated the remedy he is seeking, which in this case is for the organisation to provide him the personal data requested.

Upon completion of a review, the Commission finds that the organisation should provide the personal data requested and directs the organisation to provide access.

(B) An individual submits a request to an organisation to access his personal data in its possession and pays a fee for this request. The individual compares the fees required by a number of other organisations within the same industry to fulfil similar access requests and finds out that he has been charged a fee that is more than ten-times higher.

The individual makes an application to the Commission to review the fee paid. He states in his application that the outcome he seeks is for the Commission to direct the organisation to refund part of the fee paid.

Upon completion of a review, the Commission finds that the fee charged was not reasonable as it included costs incurred for capital purchases and directs the organisation to refund part of the fee.

15. Commencing an investigation related to a review

15.1 The Commission may, in certain situations, commence an investigation in relation to an organisation’s compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA prior to or during a review. These situations may include (without limitation):

\(^{34}\) Organisations are reminded of the requirement to respond to an access request as soon as reasonably possible under section 21(1) and to make a correction as soon as practicable under section 22(2).
15.1.1 where the organisation does not comply with the Enforcement Regulations during the review including, in particular, the Commission’s notices thereunder;\textsuperscript{35} and

15.1.2 where the Commission receives multiple applications for a review against the organisation, or applications for a review and information from other sources, and the information obtained by the Commission through the applications or other sources indicates that the organisation may not be in compliance with the Access and Correction Obligation.

In most cases, the Commission will suspend any ongoing review if it commences an investigation into the conduct of an organisation that is the subject of the review.

15.2 In general, the Commission will not commence an investigation into an organisation’s compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA after completion of a review unless there appears to the Commission to be a significant non-compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA or there are other exceptional circumstances. Please refer to section 16 of these Guidelines for more information on when the Commission may commence an investigation.

\textsuperscript{35} See paragraph 11.2 of these Guidelines.
PART IV: INVESTIGATIONS

16. Circumstances under which the Commission may commence an investigation

16.1 Section 50 of the PDPA sets out the Commission’s powers of investigation in respect of contraventions of the PDPA. In general, the Commission may commence an investigation either upon receiving a complaint from an individual against an organisation or of its own motion.

16.2 Where the Commission receives a complaint or other information that indicates that an organisation has, or may have, contravened the PDPA, the Commission will first consider whether the matter may be more appropriately resolved in the manner set out in Part II of these Guidelines, that is, by resolving the underlying dispute between the complainant and the organisation. If so, the Commission may adopt the measures described in Part II before deciding whether to commence an investigation. In addition, where a complaint relates to a matter that may be reviewed by the Commission under section 48H(1) of the PDPA (i.e. a refusal to provide access to or correct personal data; fees required in relation to access or correction requests; or a failure to provide access or correction within a reasonable time), the Commission will generally conduct a review instead of an investigation. In such circumstances, the Commission may proceed on the complainant’s complaint as if it was an application for a review or it may require the complainant to submit an application for a review.

16.3 The Commission may commence an investigation into the conduct of an organisation if the Commission considers that an investigation is warranted, based on the information it has obtained (whether through a complaint or from any other source). In deciding whether to commence an investigation, the Commission will generally consider whether any of the following factors indicate that an investigation should be conducted:

(a) whether the organisation may have failed to comply, whether intentionally, negligently or for any other reason or cause, with all or a significant part of its obligations under the PDPA;

(b) whether the organisation’s conduct\(^{36}\) indicates a systemic failure by the organisation to comply with the PDPA or to establish and maintain the necessary policies and procedures to ensure its compliance;

(c) the number of individuals who are, or may be, affected by the organisation’s conduct;

(d) the impact of the organisation’s conduct on the complainant or any individual who may be affected including, for example, whether the complainant or

---

\(^{36}\) In this paragraph, references to the organisation’s conduct generally refer to the conduct that is the subject of the information obtained by the Commission.
affected individual(s) may have suffered a loss, injury or other damage as a result of the organisation's contravention of the PDPA or whether they may have been exposed to a significant risk that they may suffer such a loss, injury or damage;

(e) whether the organisation had previously contravened the PDPA or may have failed to implement the necessary corrective measures to prevent the recurrence of a previous contravention;

(f) where the complainant had previously approached the organisation to seek a resolution of the issues in the complainant but failed to reach a resolution;

(g) where the Commission has sought to facilitate dispute resolution between the complainant and the organisation, whether the complainant and the organisation agreed to participate in the dispute resolution process, their conduct during the dispute resolution process and the outcome of the dispute resolution process;

(h) where a review has been commenced by the Commission, whether the organisation has complied with its obligations under the Enforcement Regulations in relation to a review, the organisation’s conduct during the review and the outcome of the review;

(i) the public interest; and

(j) any other factor that, in the Commission’s view, indicates that an investigation should or should not be commenced.

16.4 In addition, section 50(3) of the PDPA lists some situations in which the Commission may refuse to conduct an investigation. These include (amongst others) situations where:

(a) the Commission has issued a direction under section 48G(2) of the PDPA to a complainant to attempt to resolve the complaint in the way directed by the Commission and the complainant has not complied with the direction;

(b) the parties have mutually agreed to settle the matter;

(c) the complainant has commenced legal proceedings against the organisation in respect of the contravention or alleged contravention of the PDPA by the organisation;

(d) the Commission accepts a voluntary undertaking given by an organisation or a person under section 48L(1) of the PDPA in relation to the matter; or

(e) the Commission is of the opinion that:
(i) the complaint is frivolous or vexatious or is not made in good faith; or

(ii) any other circumstances warrant refusing to conduct an investigation.

16.5 For the avoidance of doubt, the Commission may commence an investigation notwithstanding that the complainant and the organisation have resolved the issues in the complaint or that the complaint is withdrawn by the complainant if there are other factors that indicate, in the Commission’s view, that an investigation should be conducted. These may include (without limitation) the factors noted in paragraph 16.3 (a) to (j) above.

16.6 Where the Commission has conducted a review under section 48H of the PDPA and made a decision or issued a direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA, the Commission will generally not commence an investigation in relation to the organisation’s compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA unless there appears to the Commission to be a significant non-compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA or there are exceptional circumstances which, in the Commission’s view, indicate that an investigation should be commenced. For this purpose, a significant non-compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA may include the following:

(a) systemic failure on the part of the organisation including (without limitation) where an organisation does not have any processes in place to comply with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA; or

(b) intentional non-compliance with section 21 or 22 of the PDPA or a decision or direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA, for example, where an organisation has sought, through its processes, to deny individuals’ requests for reasons that are not permitted under the PDPA.

16.7 The Commission may, in certain circumstances, commence an investigation of its own motion. This may include (without limitation) situations where the Commission receives information concerning the conduct of an organisation. In such situations, the Commission may, if it considers it appropriate, proceed with an investigation of its own motion based on the information received.
17. Making a complaint to the Commission

17.1 A complaint concerning a contravention or possible contravention of the PDPA may be made to the Commission by providing the relevant information and, where applicable, copies of documents supporting the complaint. A complainant may also use the form provided on the Commission’s website to assist him in lodging the complaint.

17.2 As a complaint may result in formal action being taken by the Commission against an organisation that has infringed the PDPA, complainants should note that they may be required to give a formal statement and appear before the Commission in relation to the statement or other matters within their knowledge.

18. Commission’s powers of investigation

18.1 The Commission’s powers of investigation are set out in the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA. In brief, these include:

18.1.1 the power to require production of documents and information;37

18.1.2 the power to require the attendance of persons, and to orally examine and take statements from them;38

18.1.3 the power to enter premises without a warrant;39 and

18.1.4 the power to enter premises with a warrant.40

These powers are further described in the following sections of these Guidelines.

18.2 In general, the Commission may use its powers of investigation to obtain from any organisation (including organisations that are not the subject of the Commission’s investigation) any information that the Commission considers relates to any matter relevant to an investigation. The Commission’s powers of investigation may also be exercised by an inspector appointed by the Commission.

18.3 All organisations and individuals are required to comply with any notice or other requirement imposed by the Commission pursuant to its powers of investigations (described further in the following paragraphs). In this regard, any individual who obstructs or impedes the Commission in the exercise of its powers, or who knowingly or recklessly makes a false statement to the Commission, or who knowingly attempts to mislead the Commission, shall be guilty of an offence under the PDPA and is liable,

37 See paragraphs 1 and 1A(1)(a) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.

38 See paragraphs 1A(1)(b) and (c) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.

39 See paragraph 2 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.

40 See paragraph 3 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
upon conviction, to a fine not exceeding S$10,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months or to both. Organisations that are found to have committed such an offence are liable to a fine not exceeding S$100,000. Further, any individual who, without reasonable excuse, neglects or refuses to provide any information or produce any document to the Commission or attend before the Commission, shall be guilty of an offence under the PDPA and is liable, upon conviction, to a fine not exceeding S$5,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months or to both. Organisations that are found to have committed such an offence are liable to a fine not exceeding S$10,000.

19. Power to require production of documents and information

19.1 Under paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA, the Commission may, by notice in writing to any organisation, require the organisation to produce any document or information which the Commission considers relates to any matter relevant to an investigation.

19.2 The term “document” includes “information recorded in any form”. This definition includes records stored in any form, electronic or otherwise, for example, on a computer.

19.3 A notice issued by the Commission under paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule shall:

19.3.1 specify the document or information, or the category of documents or information, which the organisation is required to produce; and

19.3.2 indicate the purpose for which the specified document or specified information is required by the Commission.

19.4 Such a notice may also:

19.4.1 specify the time and place at which any document or information is to be produced or provided to the Commission;

19.4.2 specify the manner and form in which any document or information is to be produced or provided;

19.4.3 require the organisation, or any past or present officer or employee of the organisation, to provide an explanation of the document; and

41 See PDPA, section 51 (3)(b) and (c) and (5).

42 See PDPA, section 51 (3)(ba) and (bb) and (6).

43 See paragraph 1(5) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
19.4.4 If the document is not produced, require the organisation or any past or present officer or employee of the organisation to state, to the best of his knowledge and belief, where it is.

19.5 Under paragraph 1(4) of the Ninth Schedule, the Commission is empowered to take copies of or extracts from any document that is produced pursuant to its notice.

19.6 The Commission may issue a notice under paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule to any person or entity falling within the definition of the term “organisation” in the PDPA. This includes any individual (including, without limitation, sole proprietors and partners of a partnership), company, association or body of persons, corporate or unincorporated. As noted above, this may also include present or past officers or employees of an organisation, including volunteers and persons who are or were working under an unpaid volunteer relationship.

19.7 The Commission may also issue a written notice to any person to produce any information or document believed to be in their custody or control which is relevant to an investigation. The information or document must be provided within the time and in the manner specified in the notice.

19.8 All individuals, companies, associations or other bodies of persons to whom such a notice is issued are required to comply with the notice.

19.9 A person or organisation may receive multiple notices requiring the production of documents or information during the course of an investigation. For example, an organisation may be required to produce further information or documents after consideration of the documents produced in response to an earlier notice.

20. Power to require attendance of persons, and to orally examine and take statements

20.1 The Commission may by written notice, require any person within Singapore, who appears to be acquainted with the facts or circumstances of a matter pertaining to an investigation, to attend before the Commission.

20.2 The Commission may also orally examine any person who appears to be acquainted with the facts or circumstances of the matter. A statement made by any person so examined will be:

---

44 See PDPA, section 2(1).
45 See PDPA, section 2(1).
46 See paragraph 1A(1)(a) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
47 See paragraph 18.3 of these Guidelines concerning offences under the PDPA.
48 See paragraph 1A(1)(b) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
49 See paragraph 1A(1)(c) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
20.2.1 reduced to writing;

20.2.2 read over to the person;

20.2.3 interpreted to him in a language that the person understands (if the person does not understand English; and

20.2.4 after correction (if necessary), be signed by the person.  

## 21. Power to enter premises without a warrant

21.1 Under paragraph 2 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA, the Commission is empowered to enter premises without a warrant in connection with an investigation. Depending on the circumstances, entry may be effected with or without giving the occupier of the premises prior notice of the intended entry.

21.2 An inspector appointed by the Commission (and any other persons he may require to assist him) may, in connection with any investigation, enter any premises after giving the occupier of the premises a written notice which:

21.2.1 gives at least 2 working days’ notice of the intended entry; and

21.2.2 indicates the subject matter and purpose of the investigation.

21.3 If the inspector has taken all such steps as are reasonably practicable to give such written notice but has not been able to do so, he (or any person assisting him) may enter the premises if he has reasonable grounds for suspecting that the premises are, or have been, occupied by an organisation which is being investigated in relation to a contravention of the PDPA. In such cases, the inspector must produce:

21.3.1 evidence of his appointment; and

21.3.2 a document containing the subject matter and purpose of the investigation.

21.4 Upon such entry, the inspector (or any person assisting him) may:

21.4.1 take with him any equipment which appears to him to be necessary;

21.4.2 in relation to any document which he considers relates to any matter relevant to the investigation:

(a) require any person on the premises to produce the document and provide an explanation of it (if produced);

---

50 See paragraph 1A(3) of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA.
(b) require any person to state, to the best of his knowledge and belief, where the document may be found;

(c) take copies of, or extracts from, any document which is produced;

(d) take any step which appears to be necessary for the purpose of preserving or preventing interference with the document; and

(e) require any information which is stored in any electronic form and is accessible from the premises and which he considers relates to any matter relevant to the investigation, to be produced in a visible and legible form, which can be taken away.

22. Power to enter premises with a warrant

Conditions for issuance of warrant

22.1 Under paragraph 3 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA, the Commission is empowered to enter and search premises without prior notice, upon production of a warrant.

22.2 Paragraph 3 of the Ninth Schedule to the PDPA identifies three circumstances in which a court may issue a warrant to authorise an inspector appointed by the Commission (and any other persons assisting him) to enter and search the premises specified in the warrant. The court must be satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for suspecting that there are, on any premises, documents:

22.2.1 which have not been produced, although the Commission has required production, either by written notice (paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule) or in the course of an inspection without a warrant (paragraph 2 of the Ninth Schedule);

22.2.2 which would be concealed, removed, tampered with or destroyed if required to be produced by written notice (paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule); or

22.2.3 which an inspector (or any person assisting him) could have required to be produced in the course of an inspection without a warrant (paragraph 2 of the Ninth Schedule), but was unable to effect entry into the premises.

Scope of the power

22.3 The warrant will authorise an inspector (and any other persons he may require to assist him) to do all or any of the following:

22.3.1 enter the premises using such force as is reasonably necessary;
22.3.2 search any person on those premises if there are reasonable grounds for believing that that person has in his possession any document, equipment or article which has a bearing on the investigation;

22.3.3 search the premises and take copies of, or extracts from, any document appearing to be of the kind in respect of which the warrant was granted (as described in paragraph 22.2 above);

22.3.4 take possession of any document appearing to be of the kind in respect of which the warrant was granted if such action appears to be necessary for preserving the document or preventing interference with it, or if it is not reasonably practicable to take copies of the document on the premises. Upon request for a copy of the document by the person from whom possession of such document was taken, the inspector may provide such copy. Documents taken may be retained for a period of not more than 3 months;

22.3.5 take any other steps which appear necessary in order to preserve the documents or prevent interference with them including requiring equipment and storage facilities to be sealed if necessary;

22.3.6 require any person to provide an explanation of any document appearing to be of the kind in respect of which the warrant was granted or to state to the best of his knowledge and belief where such document may be found;

22.3.7 require any information, which is stored in any electronic form and is accessible from the premises, and which the investigator considers relates to any matter relevant to the investigation, to be produced in a visible and legible form which can be taken away; and

22.3.8 remove from the premises for examination any equipment or article which relates to any matter relevant to the investigation. Where appropriate, the inspector may, instead of removing from the premises such equipment or articles, allow them to be retained on the premises subject to conditions.

22.4 In addition, where a warrant is granted to enter premises pursuant to a reasonable suspicion that, if prior written notice under paragraph 1 of the Ninth Schedule were given, documents would be concealed, removed, tampered with or destroyed (i.e. in the circumstances described in paragraph 21.2.2 above), then, if the court is satisfied that it is reasonable to suspect that there are also other documents on the premises which relate to the investigation, the warrant will authorise the actions mentioned in paragraph 21.4 above to be taken in relation to such other documents.

22.5 The inspector entering the premises (and any other persons he may require to assist him) may take with him any equipment, which appears to him to be necessary.

23. **Suspension or conclusion of an investigation**
23.1 Section 50(3) of the PDPA lists various situations in which the Commission may suspend or discontinue an investigation. These include the situations described in paragraphs 16.4(a) – (e) above.

23.2 Where the Commission proceeds with an investigation, the Commission will consider the information and evidence it has obtained and determine if an organisation has contravened the PDPA. The Commission’s powers where it finds an organisation has contravened the PDPA are set out in the next Part of these Guidelines.

24. Public communications

24.1 Organisations that intend to issue any media releases or public disclosure of matters related to the alleged breach are advised to consider whether the content would hinder the ongoing investigations and to also provide the Commission with a copy of the materials before their release.
PART V: VOLUNTARY UNDERTAKINGS

25. Power to accept voluntary undertakings

25.1 Where the Commission has reasonable grounds to believe that:

   25.1.1 an organisation has not complied, is not complying or is likely not to comply with any of the provision of Part 3, 4, 5, 6, 6A (“Data Protection Provisions”); or

   25.1.2 a person has not complied, is not complying or is likely not to comply with any provision of Part 9 or section 48B(1) (“DNC Provisions”);

instead of carrying out an investigation or issuing directions (but without prejudice to the Commission’s ability to do so), the Commission may accept a written voluntary undertaking from the organisation or person concerned.\(^{51}\)

25.2 Depending on the circumstances, the voluntary undertaking may include (without limitation):

   25.2.1 an undertaking to take specified action within a specified time (for example, for the organisation to improve its cyber-defences and/or carry out penetration testing on its electronic databases);

   25.2.2 an undertaking to refrain from taking any action (for example, for the organisation to stop using personal data collected without consent); and/or

   25.2.3 an undertaking to publicise the voluntary undertaking.

25.3 Generally, the voluntary undertaking process is intended to allow organisations with good accountability practices and an effective remediation plan to be given a window of opportunity to implement their remediation plans. In appropriate cases, organisations may undertake to improve their data protection practices.

25.4 The provision of a voluntary undertaking by a person or organisation is subject to the Commission’s acceptance. For example, the Commission may not accept a voluntary undertaking in circumstances including but not limited to where the person or organisation’s non-compliance with the PDPA is wilful or egregious.

25.5 Where an organisation or person fails to comply with any undertaking in a voluntary undertaking, the Commission may give the organisation or person any direction\(^{52}\) that the Commission thinks fit in the circumstances to ensure the compliance of the organisation or person with that undertaking.

\(^{51}\) See PDPA, section 48L(1).

\(^{52}\) Section 48K(1), (3), (4), (5), (6), and (7) of the PDPA applies to such direction as if the direction were given under section 48I.
Further, where the organisation or person fails to comply with its undertaking to publicise the voluntary undertaking (described in paragraph 25.2.3 above), the Commission may publicise the voluntary undertaking in accordance with the undertaking, and recover the costs and expenses incurred as a debt due to the Commission. In any case, the Commission may publish the voluntary undertaking or a summary thereof on its website or in any other manner that the Commission considers appropriate.
PART VI: DIRECTIONS AND FINANCIAL PENALTIES

26. Power to issue directions to secure compliance

26.1 The Commission’s power to issue directions to secure an organisation’s compliance with the PDPA is set out in section 48I of the PDPA. In particular:

26.1.1 Section 48I(1) of the PDPA provides that the Commission may, if it is satisfied that an organisation is not complying with any of the Data Protection Provisions or any person has not complied or is not complying with any of the DNC Provisions, give the organisation or person (as the case may be) such directions as the Commission thinks fit in the circumstances to ensure the organisation’s compliance with that provision.

26.1.2 Section 48I(2) of the PDPA further provides that the Commission may (without prejudice to section 48I(1) of the PDPA) give an organisation that is not complying with any Data Protection Provisions, any or all of the following directions:

(a) to stop collecting, using or disclosing personal data in contravention of the PDPA;

(b) to destroy personal data collected in contravention of the PDPA; or

(c) to comply with any direction of the Commission under section 48H(2) of the PDPA.

26.2 In general, the directions that the Commission may give under section 48I of the PDPA are likely to fall within the following types:

26.2.1 Directions to remedy the organisation’s contravention, that is, by requiring the organisation to take corrective action, for example, by requiring the infringing organisation to cease its use of personal data collected in contravention of the PDPA;

26.2.2 Directions to prevent or reduce the possibility of harm (or further harm) to individuals who are (or may be) affected by the organisation’s contravention; and

26.2.3 Directions to rectify an organisation’s processes, for example, by requiring the infringing organisation to take certain measures so that it will be brought into compliance with the PDPA.

26.3 In the event an organisation does not comply with a direction under section 48I of the PDPA, the Commission is empowered under section 48M of the PDPA to enforce the direction by registering it in the District Court. Please refer to section 30 of these Guidelines for more information on the enforcement of the Commission’s directions.
27. **Written notice to pay financial penalties**

27.1 Under Section 48J of the PDPA, the Commission may require an organisation to pay a financial penalty of up to S$1 million or 10% of the organisation’s annual turnover in Singapore\(^{53}\), whichever is higher, for any intentional or negligent contravention of the Data Protection Provisions. \(^{54}\)

27.2 For any intentional or negligent contravention of the DNC Provisions involving the use of dictionary attacks and address-harvesting software \(^{55}\), the Commission may require payment of a financial penalty of up to S$200,000 in the case of an individual and in the case of an organisation, a financial penalty of up to S$1 million or 5% of the organisation’s annual turnover in Singapore\(^{56}\), whichever is higher. Contraventions of other DNC provisions\(^{57}\), the Commission may require payment of a financial penalty of up to S$200,000 in the case of an individual and in other cases, a financial penalty of up to S$1 million.

27.3 An organisation’s annual turnover in Singapore will be ascertained from the most recent audited accounts of the organisation available at the time the financial penalty is imposed\(^{58}\).

27.4 In determining the amount of financial penalty to be imposed\(^{59}\), the Commission will consider the following, among others:

27.4.1 Assess the incident based on the principles of harm and culpability, drawn from the factors set out in section 48J of the PDPA:

   (a) **Harm** includes the number of affected individuals, categories of affected personal data, duration of the incident etc. The Commission will determine the level of harm in accordance with the above factors,

   (b) **Culpability** refers to the organisation’s conduct in the incident and the Commission will consider the nature of the specific breach of the PDPA as well as the organisation’s overall compliance with the PDPA.

27.4.2 Consider other relevant factors calling for an increase and/or decrease of the financial penalty. Such factors will take into account whether the organisation...

\(^{53}\) Where the organisation’s annual turnover in Singapore exceeds S$10 million.

\(^{54}\) Any provision in Part 3, 4, 5, 6, or 6A of the PDPA.

\(^{55}\) See PDPA, section 48B(1).

\(^{56}\) Where the organisation’s annual turnover in Singapore exceeds S$20 million.

\(^{57}\) Any provision in Part 9 of the PDPA.

\(^{58}\) See PDPA, Section 48J(5A).

\(^{59}\) Based on factors including those set out at section 48J of the PDPA.
or person took any action to mitigate the effects and consequences of the non-compliance, and the timeliness and effectiveness of that action, whether the organisation or person had previously failed to comply with the PDPA etc.

27.5 Table 1 below provides some of the past Commission Decisions in which the factors from section 48J(6) of the PDPA were considered in the process of determining the financial penalties.

**Table 1: Factors and examples of past enforcement cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Past enforcement cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>The nature, gravity and duration of the non-compliance by the organisation or person, as the case may be</td>
<td><em>Institute of Singapore Chartered Accountants [2018] SGPDP 28</em> – The fact that the unauthorised disclosure was limited to a single unintended recipient for a short period of 10 minutes was taken as a mitigating factor in the financial penalty calibration (see paragraph 22 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ninja Logistics Pte Ltd [2019] SGPDP 39</em> – The fact that the organisation was cognisant of the risks of unauthorised access and disclosure to the exposed personal data through the tracking function page, but failed to resolve the issue for more than 2 years, was taken as an aggravating factor (see paragraph 18 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>SPH Magazines Pte Ltd [2020] SGPDP 3</em> – The facts that the compromised password had not been changed for a long period of 10 years and the organisation was unable to detect the unauthorised access of personal data for about 2 years, were taken as aggravating factors (see paragraph 13 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>The type and nature of the personal data affected by the non-compliance by the organisation or person, as the case may be</td>
<td><em>Aviva Ltd [2018] SGPDP 4</em> – The disclosure of sensitive personal data, in particular medical condition and sum assured, was treated as an aggravating factor (see paragraph 30 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Singapore Health Services Pte. Ltd. and Integrated Health Information Systems Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDP 3</em> – The financial penalty imposed on each of the two organisations took</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

60 Commission’s Decisions can be found at https://www.pdpc.gov.sg/Commissions-Decisions.

- **Option Gift Pte Ltd [2019] SGPDPC 10** – The organisation was found in breach for the unintended disclosure of up to 426 individuals’ personal data due to a coding error in its system. The disclosed personal data comprised login identifications, email addresses, delivery addresses and mobile numbers. The Commission considered, among other things, the number and type of personal data compromised, and imposed a lower financial penalty (see paragraph 9 of the Decision).

- **Sharon Assya Qadriyah Tang [2018] SGPDPC 1** – The profiteering from the sale of personal data was taken to be an aggravating factor in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 32 of the Decision).

- **Amicus Solutions Pte. Ltd. and Ivan Chua Lye Kiat [2019] SGPDPC 33** – Profiteering from the sale of personal data was treated as aggravating in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraphs 52 and 53 of the Decision).

- **Zero1 Pte. Ltd. and XDEL Singapore Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDPC 37** – Quick remedial action by XDEL Singapore Pte. Ltd. to rectify the code checking function on the notification webpage system was treated as a mitigating factor in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 26 of the Decision).

- **Singapore Telecommunications Limited [2019] SGPDPC 49** – Prompt action taken to mitigate the impact of the breach by implementing a temporary fix within 11 hours, was taken as a mitigating factor (see paragraph 14 of the Decision).

- **Propnex Realty Pte Ltd [2017] SGPDPC 1** – The facts that the organisation had in place a data protection policy which was made known to its agents and staff, and that its in-house compliance...

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option Gift Pte Ltd [2019] SGPDPC 10</strong></td>
<td>The organisation was found in breach for the unintended disclosure of up to 426 individuals’ personal data due to a coding error in its system. The disclosed personal data comprised login identifications, email addresses, delivery addresses and mobile numbers. The Commission considered, among other things, the number and type of personal data compromised, and imposed a lower financial penalty (see paragraph 9 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sharon Assya Qadriyah Tang [2018] SGPDPC 1</strong></td>
<td>The profiteering from the sale of personal data was taken to be an aggravating factor in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 32 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amicus Solutions Pte. Ltd. and Ivan Chua Lye Kiat [2019] SGPDPC 33</strong></td>
<td>Profiteering from the sale of personal data was treated as aggravating in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraphs 52 and 53 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zero1 Pte. Ltd. and XDEL Singapore Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDPC 37</strong></td>
<td>Quick remedial action by XDEL Singapore Pte. Ltd. to rectify the code checking function on the notification webpage system was treated as a mitigating factor in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 26 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singapore Telecommunications Limited [2019] SGPDPC 49</strong></td>
<td>Prompt action taken to mitigate the impact of the breach by implementing a temporary fix within 11 hours, was taken as a mitigating factor (see paragraph 14 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propnex Realty Pte Ltd [2017] SGPDPC 1</strong></td>
<td>The facts that the organisation had in place a data protection policy which was made known to its agents and staff, and that its in-house compliance...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- The Commission considered, among other things, the number and type of personal data compromised, and imposed a lower financial penalty (see paragraph 9 of the Decision).
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>compliance, implemented adequate and appropriate measures for compliance with the requirements under this Act</td>
<td>team (with assistance from external consultants) had been conducting annual internal audits to assess system access risk, data integrity risk and risk of configuration issues in the production environment were considered in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 32 of the Decision).<strong>ComGateway (S) Pte. Ltd. [2017] SGPDPC 19</strong> – The fact that the organisation had been conducting regular penetration tests, vulnerability tests and code reviews to guard against online security threats was considered in calibrating the financial penalty (see paragraph 37 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Whether the organisation or person (as the case may be) had previously failed to comply with this Act</td>
<td><strong>Aviva Ltd and Toh-Shi Printing Singapore Pte Ltd [2016] SGPDPC 15</strong> – Financial penalty against Toh-Shi Printing Singapore Pte Ltd took into account the fact that this was the second time within about a year that a breach of the same case fact pattern had occurred (see paragraph 38 of the Decision). <strong>Aviva Ltd [2018] SGPDPC 4</strong> – The fact that the organisation had encountered a similar incident previously was treated as an aggravating factor (see paragraph 30 of the Decision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) The compliance of the organisation or person (as the case may be) with any direction given under section 48I or 48L(4) in relation to remedying or mitigating the effect of the non-compliance</td>
<td>This factor has not arisen in past cases. The Commission may consider the fact that an organisation did not comply with a previous direction for the incident as an aggravating factor in the financial penalty calibration for the incident. <strong>Singapore Health Services Pte. Ltd. and Integrated Health Information Systems Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDPC 3</strong> – The Commission decided to impose the highest and second highest financial penalties against Integrated Health Information Systems Pte. Ltd. and Singapore Health Services Pte. Ltd. respectively in recognition of the severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Whether the financial penalty to be imposed is proportionate and effective, having regard to achieving compliance and deterring non-compliance with this Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and extent of the data breach and the serious lapses (see paragraph 138 of the Decision).

**Amicus Solutions Pte. Ltd. and Ivan Chua Lye Kiat [2019] SGPDPC 33** – In calibrating the financial penalty, the Commission took a hard stance against the unauthorised sale of personal data. In its decision, the Commission emphasised that profiting from sale of personal data by organisations without consent of individuals was the kind of activity which the PDPA sought to curb and would be dealt with severely. The Commission further warned that any profits from the unauthorised sale of personal data may be taken into account in calculating the financial penalty to be imposed (see paragraphs 54 and 55 of the Decision).

**i)** The likely impact of the imposition of the financial penalty on the organisation or person (as the case may be), including the ability of the organisation or person to continue the usual activities of the organisation or person

**O2 Advertising Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDPC 32** – The Commission reduced the financial penalty amount after considering the organisation’s representation of its dire financial circumstances (i.e. massive loss due to fraud involving S$3.2 million, elderly 72-year-old sole owner who intended to continue the business on a significantly reduced scale) (see paragraphs 12 and 13 of the Decision).

**Advance Home Tutors [2019] SGPDPC 35** – The Commission reduced the financial penalty amount to avoid imposing a crushing burden on the organisation, following its representation that it was a small home business which did not generate much revenue (see paragraphs 27 and 30 of the Decision).

**j)** Any other matter that may be relevant

**Aviva Ltd [2018] SGPDPC 4** – The fact that the organisation was in the business of handling large volumes of personal data, the disclosure of which may cause exceptional damage, injury or hardship to affected individuals was taken as aggravating (see paragraph 30 of the Decision).

**DS Human Resource Pte. Ltd. [2019] SGPDPC 16** – Despite the organisation’s representation of its efforts to automate its business processes in response to the Government’s push for small and
medium enterprises (SMEs) to go digital, the Commission decided to maintain the financial penalty. This was to convey the message that good data management and protection practices need to be adopted from the onset of the digitalisation process even for SMEs, and these can be proportionate without being too costly (see paragraph 14 of the Decision).

**Creative Technology Ltd [2020] SGPDPC 1** – The Commission considered as a mitigating factor the organisation’s effort of going through its email logs to determine the number of affected user emails which contained either names or partial names, even though it had deleted the database (see paragraph 13 of the Decision).
PART VII: PROCESSES RELATING TO THE COMMISSION’S DECISIONS AND DIRECTIONS

28. Procedure for giving of decisions and directions

28.1 Prior to giving a direction under section 48I or imposing a financial penalty under section 48J(1), the Commission shall give a written notice to the organisation or person concerned in accordance with section 48K(1). The written notice may be accompanied by a preliminary decision which will typically contain the following:

28.1.1 the Commission’s preliminary findings and the relevant evidence obtained by the Commission on which the preliminary findings are based;

28.1.2 the reasons for the Commission’s preliminary decision, based on the application of the relevant legal principles to the facts of the case; and

28.1.3 where the Commission intends to find an organisation in contravention of the PDPA, the proposed direction(s) to be given or financial penalty to be imposed (the “Proposed Action”).

28.2 The organisation or person concerned will be given 14 days to make written representations relating to any of the matters set out in the written notice and the preliminary decision, including the Proposed Action. Written representations should be accompanied by relevant supporting documents and/or information to enable the Commission to consider the written representations fully.

28.3 The organisation or person that has been given the preliminary decision may make a written application to the Commission for an extension of time to make written representations. The Commission may grant an extension if it is satisfied that exceptional circumstances in the particular case warrant an extension of time.\(^{61}\)

28.4 The Commission will consider the written representations before finalising and issuing its decision (the “Decision”). If no written representations are made by the organisation or person concerned by the specified time, the Commission will proceed to finalise and issue the Decision in line with the preliminary decision.

28.5 In addition to sending a notice of the decision to the organisation or person concerned with the finalised decision, the Commission will also send a notice of decision to the complainant whose complaint against the organisation or person concerned resulted in the Proposed Action by the Commission, if any.\(^{62}\)

28.6 Where the Commission imposes a financial penalty on an organisation or person, the organisation or person will be given a specified time to make payment which will be no earlier than 28 days after the notice is issued. If payment is not made by the

---

\(^{61}\) See PDPA, section 48K(3).

\(^{62}\) See PDPA, section 48K(6).
stipulated time, interest at the rate prescribed in the Rules of Court in respect of judgment debts i.e. 5.33% will accrue.63 If the organisation makes a written application for an extension of time or to make payment by instalments, such written application should be supported by reasons and supporting information or documents.

29. **Commission’s power to publish decisions and directions and voluntary undertakings**

29.1 Regulations 17, 18 and 19 of the Enforcement Regulations provide that the Commission may, where it has made a decision or issued a direction or written notice under section 48H(2) or 48I(1) or (2) or 48J(1) or 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA, publish:

29.1.1 the Decision; or

29.1.2 a summary of the Decision (a “Summary”).

29.2 The Commission will decide whether to publish a Decision or Summary based on the complexity of the matter.

29.3 Prior to publication of a Decision or a Summary, the Commission will give notice of the Decision to the respondent(s) and applicant(s) (if any).

29.4 In considering whether to publish a Decision or a Summary (at the appropriate time as described in the previous paragraph), the Commission will adopt the following approach:

29.4.1 The Commission will generally publish a Decision relating to an organisation that is found to have contravened the PDPA. Amongst other reasons, this is for reasons of transparency, and so that other organisations may take note of the manner in which the Commission has applied the PDPA in specific cases and take preventive measures to avoid similar occurrences.

29.4.2 The Commission will generally not publish a Decision under section 48H(2) of the PDPA as such Decisions do not always amount to a finding that an organisation has contravened the Data Protection Provisions.

29.4.3 Nevertheless, the Commission may publish a Decision or a Summary thereof if it includes matters which, in the Commission’s view, would be of general interest to the public or which would provide guidance to individuals and organisations in relation to their respective rights and obligations under the PDPA.

29.4.4 Depending on the particular situation, the Commission may publish the name of the organisation involved in the Decision or Summary, after removing confidential information from the report.

---

63 See PDPA, section 48K(8) and (9).
29.5 Where a Decision contains personal data or information that is treated as confidential under the PDPA, the Commission will either redact such data and information from the published Decision or publish a Summary that excludes such data and information.

29.6 A Decision or Summary may be published on the Commission’s website or in such other manner as the Commission may direct.

29.7 For the avoidance of doubt, non-publication of a Decision or Summary by the Commission does not affect the legal validity or effect of a Decision.

29.8 Similarly, where the Commission accepts a voluntary undertaking given by an organisation or a person, the Commission may publish the voluntary undertaking or a summary thereof on the Commission’s website or in such other manner as the Commission may decide.

30. Enforcement of the Commission’s directions

30.1 Section 48M of the PDPA provides that the Commission may register a direction or written notice under section 48H(2), 48I, 48L(4), 48J(1) or 48K(10) of the PDPA in the District Court. A registered direction or written notice has the same force and effect for the purposes of enforcement as if it is an order obtained in the District Court. Legal proceedings may thus be taken on the registered direction to enforce the direction.

---

64 See PDPA, section 59.
PART VIII: RECONSIDERATION

31. Reconsideration of a decision or direction or financial penalty

31.1 Section 48N(1) of the PDPA provides that an organisation or person aggrieved by a decision, direction or financial penalty (as the case may be) of the Commission under section 48G(2), 48H(2), 48I(1) or (2), 48L(4) or 48J(1) of the PDPA may apply to the Commission for the Commission to reconsider the decision, direction or financial penalty. An application for reconsideration shall be made within 28 days from the issuance of the decision or direction.

31.2 Section 48N(3) of the PDPA further provides that unless the Commission decides otherwise, the making of an application for reconsideration does not suspend the effect of the decision or direction to be reconsidered (the “contested decision”), except in respect of a financial penalty.

31.3 Section 48N(4) of the PDPA provides that an application for reconsideration must set out the grounds on which the applicant is requesting the reconsideration. Part 3 of the Enforcement Regulations sets out further details on the manner of making an application for reconsideration. The Enforcement Regulations elaborates on this by providing that an application for reconsideration must include the following:

31.3.1 the applicant’s grounds for making the application, identifying, in particular:

(a) the extent (if any) to which the applicant contends that the contested decision was based on an error of fact or was wrong in law; and

(b) the extent (if any) to which the applicant is requesting that the Commission reconsider its exercise of discretion in making the contested decision;

31.3.2 a presentation of the arguments of fact or law supporting each ground of the application;

31.3.3 the decisions under section 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA (if any) sought by the applicant and the reasons for seeking those decisions;

31.3.4 copies of documents specified therein.

---

65 This includes an individual who is a complainant in relation to a decision or direction made under section 48G(2), 48H(2), 48I(1) or (2) or 48L(4) of the PDPA.

66 For example, where the applicant is an organisation, the applicant may wish to ask that the Commission amend its direction in a particular manner. Where the applicant is an individual, the applicant may be asking for a particular outcome, such as a direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA for an organisation to provide access to his personal data.

67 See Enforcement Regulations, regulation 11.
31.4 An application for reconsideration must be accompanied by a copy of the contested decision and the fee as prescribed in the Enforcement Regulations. Where the application for reconsideration relates to a decision or direction under section 48H(2) of the PDPA, the prescribed fee is S$25. For all other applications for reconsideration, the prescribed fee is S$250.

31.5 Any applicant who wishes to request that the contested decision be stayed pending the Commission’s decision upon reconsideration may make his request in his application for reconsideration or at any stage of the reconsideration process by making a written request to the Commission.

31.6 Organisations and individuals that are aggrieved by a decision or direction of the Commission may, instead of making an application for reconsideration, appeal against the decision or direction to the Data Protection Appeal Panel.

32. Reconsideration procedure

32.1 The procedures that the Commission will adopt in a reconsideration under section 48N(1) of the PDPA are mainly set out in Part 3 of the Enforcement Regulations.

32.2 Where the Commission receives a reconsideration application that has been submitted in accordance with section 48N of the PDPA and regulation 11 of the Enforcement Regulations, the Commission will serve on the respondent a copy of the reconsideration application and any accompanying documents or information that have been provided by the applicant.

32.3 The Commission will also serve on the respondent a notice requiring that a written response setting out any explanation or reply that the respondent may wish to offer on the matters or issues raised in the application, be submitted within a period specified by the Commission.

32.4 In general, the Commission will give the respondent a reasonable time frame, taking into account the issues raised in the application for reconsideration, to submit the response. Typically, the time frame would be within 14 days for submission of the response. The respondent may apply to the Commission in writing for an extension of the time frame for submission of the response, subject to the Commission’s approval of such request for extension of time.

32.5 If the respondent fails to submit a response within the time frame specified by the Commission (including any extension thereof granted by the Commission upon the application of the other party), the Commission shall proceed to make its decision based on the information and documents obtained by the Commission. Section 33 of these Guidelines describes the decisions and directions that the Commission may make upon reconsidering a decision or direction.

---

68 See Enforcement Regulations, The Schedule.
32.6 Where the respondent submits a response, the Commission may, where it considers it appropriate:

32.6.1 serve a copy of the response and any accompanying statutory declaration or document or information on the applicant; and

32.6.2 invite the applicant to submit to the Commission, within a period specified by the Commission, a written reply to the other party’s response as the applicant may wish to give.

32.7 In general, where the Commission invites the applicant to submit a reply, the Commission will give the applicant a fair and reasonable time frame to do so, taking into account the issues raised in the respondent’s response. Typically, the time frame would be within 14 days for submission of the reply. The applicant may apply to the Commission in writing for an extension of the time frame for submission of the reply, subject to the Commission’s approval of such request for extension of time.

32.8 Upon the expiry of the time frame specified by the Commission for the submission of the reply (including any extension thereof granted by the Commission upon the application of the other party), the Commission shall proceed to make its decision based on the information and documents obtained by the Commission. Paragraph 32 describes the decisions and directions that the Commission may make upon reconsidering a decision or direction.

32.9 After making its decision, the Commission shall give notice of the decision to the applicant and the other party. The Commission may also publish the decision or a summary of the decision as described in Part VII of these Guidelines.

32.10 The applicant may withdraw an application for reconsideration with the permission of the Commission at any time before the Commission has given a notice of its decision under section 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA. Where an applicant wishes to withdraw its application, it shall apply to the Commission in writing and provide the reasons for its decision to withdraw the application. The Commission will generally permit the applicant to withdraw his application unless the Commission considers that there is sufficient reason, based on the information and documents provided to the Commission prior to the applicant’s request to withdraw his application, for the Commission to reconsider the contested decision. Where the Commission permits an applicant to withdraw his application, the Commission shall not proceed any further in reconsidering the contested decision.

69 See paragraph 11.2.2 of these Guidelines concerning statutory declarations.
33. **Commission’s decisions and directions upon reconsideration**

33.1 Section 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA provides that the Commission may, after reconsidering the contested decision, affirm, revoke or vary the contested decision as the Commission thinks fit.

33.2 There shall be no further application for reconsideration of a decision made under section 48N of the PDPA. However, an organisation or individual who is aggrieved by such a decision may appeal to the Data Protection Appeal Panel under section 48Q of the PDPA.
PART IX: APPEALS AND RIGHTS OF PRIVATE ACTION

34. Appeal against decision or direction

34.1 Section 48Q of the PDPA provides that an organisation or person aggrieved by a decision or direction (as the case may be) of the Commission under section 48G(2), 48H(2), 48I(1) or (2), 48J(1), 48L(4) or 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA may appeal to the Chairman of the Data Protection Appeal Panel against that decision or direction. An appeal shall be made within 28 days of the issuance of the decision or direction that is the subject of the appeal (the “appealed decision”).

34.2 Section 48Q(3) of the PDPA provides that where an application for reconsideration has been made under section 48N of the PDPA, every appeal in respect of the same decision or direction shall be deemed to be withdrawn. In such a situation, an appellant whose appeal is deemed to be withdrawn may make an application for reconsideration to the Commission, and the Commission shall consider such applications concurrently with other applications for reconsideration in respect of the same matter. Alternatively, the appellant may await the outcome of the Commission’s reconsideration of its decision or direction and consider whether to make an appeal against the Commission’s decision upon reconsideration (under section 48N(6)(b) of the PDPA).

34.3 Section 48Q(4) of the PDPA further provides that unless the Data Protection Appeal Committee hearing an appeal decides otherwise, the making of an appeal does not suspend the effect of the appealed decision, except in the case of a direction to pay a financial penalty.

35. Data Protection Appeal Committee’s decisions and directions

35.1 Section 48Q(5) of the PDPA provides that the Data Protection Appeal Committee hearing an appeal may, after hearing the appeal:

35.1.1 remit the matter to the Commission;

35.1.2 impose or revoke, or vary the amount of, a financial penalty;

35.1.3 give such other direction or take such other step as the Commission could itself have given or taken; or

35.1.4 make any other direction or decision that the Commission could itself have made.

35.2 Any direction or decision of a Data Protection Appeal Committee under section 48Q(5) of the PDPA has the same effect, and may be enforced in the same manner, as a

---

70 This includes an individual who is a complainant in relation to a decision or direction made under section 48G(2), 48H(2), 48I(1) or (2) or 48L(4) of the PDPA.
direction or decision of the Commission, except that there shall be no application for further reconsideration under section 48N of the PDPA and no further appeal under section 48Q of the PDPA from any direction or decision of the Data Protection Appeal Committee. Please refer to the next section concerning appeals to the High Court and Court of Appeal.

36. Appeals to High Court and Court of Appeal

36.1 Section 48R(1) of the PDPA provides that an appeal against, or with respect to, a decision or direction of a Data Protection Appeal Committee may be made to the General Division of the High Court:

36.1.1 on a point of law; or

36.1.2 as to the amount of a financial penalty.

36.2 An appeal under section 48R(1) of the PDPA may be made by⁷¹:

36.2.1 an organisation or person aggrieved by the decision or direction of the Data Protection Appeal Committee;

36.2.2 where the decision relates to a complaint, the complainant; or

36.2.3 the Commission.

36.3 Under section 48R (3) of the PDPA, the High Court shall hear and determine any such appeal and may:

36.3.1 confirm, modify or reverse the decision or direction of the Data Protection Appeal Committee; and

36.3.2 make such further or other order, including (without limitation) orders as to costs, as the Court may think fit.

36.4 A decision of the High Court under section 48R(3) of the PDPA may be appealed to the Court of Appeal in accordance with the Rules of Court.

37. Rights of private action

37.1 Section 48O(1) of the PDPA provides that any person who suffers loss or damage directly as a result of a contravention by an organisation of any provisions in Part 4, 5, 6, 6A or 6B or by a person of any provision of Division 3 of Part 9 or section 48B(1) may commence civil proceedings in the courts against the organisation. Under section 48O(3) of the PDPA, a court hearing an action under section 48O(1) of the PDPA may grant any or all of the following:

⁷¹ See PDPA, section 48R(2).
37.1.1 an injunction or a declaration;

37.1.2 damages;

37.1.3 such other relief as the court thinks fit.

37.2 Where the Commission has made a decision under the PDPA in respect of a contravention, no action may be brought under section 48O(1) of the PDPA in respect of that contravention until the decision has become final as a result of there being no further right of appeal.\textsuperscript{72}

37.3 As the Commission is not empowered to award damages or other relief noted above to a complainant, persons who suffer loss or damage as a result of a contravention of the PDPA may commence civil proceedings directly. In general, such persons may wish to obtain legal advice in relation to their claim and possible civil proceedings.

37.4 Under the Rules of Court, where a party (referred to as the claimant) commences civil proceedings for relief under section 48O(1) of the PDPA, he is required to serve a copy of the originating claim or originating application to the Commission not later than 14 days after service of the originating claim or originating application on the defendant.\textsuperscript{73} In addition, any person who is granted a judgment or order by a court pursuant to section 48O of the PDPA is required to transmit a copy of the judgment or order to the Commission within 3 days after the date of the judgment or order.\textsuperscript{74}

\textbf{END OF DOCUMENT}

\textsuperscript{72} See PDPA, section 48O(2).

\textsuperscript{73} See Rules of Court, Order 57, Rule 12.

\textsuperscript{74} See Rules of Court, Order 57, Rule 13.